



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Yoshinori FUKUI et al.

Title: METHOD OF SCREENING A
SUBSTANCE INTERFERING IN
THE ASSOCIATION OF DOCK2
AND ELMO

Appl. No.: 10/535,223

Filing Date: 03/27/2006

Patent No: 7,541,153

Grant Date: 06/02/2009

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DECISION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a request for reconsideration of the decision dated September 23, 2009 (hereinafter "Decision"). A fee was submitted with the original request for reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) of July 29, 2009. If a request for reconsideration is not appropriate, then this present request is a petition under 37 C.F.R. sections 1.181, 1.182, and 1.183.

In the Decision, the PTO refuses to follow a decision of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia with regard to Patent Term Adjustment (PTA).

U.S. Patent Law, specifically 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(4)(A), provides:

"An applicant dissatisfied with a determination made by the Director under paragraph (3) shall have remedy by a civil action against the Director filed in the United States District Court for the District

of Columbia within 180 days after the grant of the patent. Chapter 7 of title 5, United States Code, shall apply to such action. Any final judgment resulting in a change to the period of adjustment of the patent term shall be served on the Director, and the Director shall thereafter alter the term of the patent to reflect such change."

Given that the above-quoted law expressly gives the United States District Court for the District of Columbia jurisdiction and authority over the PTO with regard to PTA, the PTO is not at liberty to not follow this District Court. Reconsideration of the Decision is respectfully requested on this basis.

In the interest of judicial and administrative economy and efficiency, it is respectfully requested that a decision on this present request for reconsideration be deferred or delayed until a final decision has been rendered in Wyeth v. Dudas, which is now on appeal at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, under Federal Circuit Docket No. 2009-1120.

No additional fees are believed to be required. However, if any additional fees are required, the Commissioner is authorized to make appropriate charges to Deposit Account No. 19-0741 to provide exact payment.

Respectfully submitted,

Date October 21, 2009

By Michael D. Kaminski

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 22428
Telephone: (202) 672-5490
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Michael D. Kaminski
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 32,904